SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
	:	18-cr-00030-PAC-4
- against -	:	
	:	<u>ORDER</u>
MIRSAD BOGDANOVIC,	:	
Defendant.	:	
	X	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Mirsad Bogdanovic is an inmate at U.S.P. Canaan. He moves *pro se* for compassionate release pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i), on the ground that his health conditions¹ make COVID-19 pose an extraordinary risk to him at his facility. Mot. Compassionate Release 1, 14–17, ECF No. 372. Bogdanovic's motion is denied without prejudice because it is premature.

Before he may file a motion for compassionate release in federal court, Bogdanovic must must either "fully exhaust[] all administrative rights to appeal [the Bureau of Prisons' ('BOP')] failure to bring a motion on [his] behalf," or wait for "the lapse of 30 days from the receipt of such a request by the warden of [his] facility, whichever is earlier." § 3582(c)(1)(A); see also Order 2, United States v. Sprolling, No. 16-cr-00346 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 16, 2021), ECF No. 33. Bogdanovic states that he requested relief from his warden, and the warden denied his request. Mot. Compassionate Release 25. But Bogdanovic does not submit any documentation of that request, and the Government states that "the BOP has no record of receiving a compassionate release request from him." Resp. Opp'n 2, ECF No. 373. Accordingly, Bogdanovic's motion is premature; his motion for compassionate release can be ripe for a decision from this Court only

¹ The Court accepts Bogdanovic's medical records, Government Exhibit A, under seal. *See United States v. King*, No. 16-CR-478-11, 2021 WL 736422, at *2 n.1 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 24, 2021).

after he has requested release from his warden. See Order 2, United States v. Sprolling; United

States v. Jaquez, No. 17 Cr. 415, 2021 WL 857364, at *2 n.5 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2021); United

States v. Sanders, No. 17-cr-456, 2020 WL 6273906, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 26, 2020)

("According to the Government, moreover, 'FCI Hazelton has no record of [the defendant]

making a compassionate release request.' . . . Absent any evidence that [the defendant] pursued

that avenue for relief, the Court will not 'bypass the administrative process and decide the merits

of a claim that Congress clearly intended should start, in the first instance, with the BOP.")

(citations omitted).

The Court makes no factual findings with respect to the grounds Bogdanovic offers to

justify compassionate release, nor does it reach any legal conclusions regarding them.

Bogdanovic may renew his motion after he submits a request for compassionate release to his

warden in accordance with § 3582(c)(1)(A) and provides the Court with evidence of that request.

Bogdanovic may also renew his request for the Court to appoint counsel at that time; for now, his

requests are denied without prejudice.

Dated: New York, New York

April(2___, 2021

SO ORDERED

HONORABLE PAUL A. CROTTY

United States District Judge

Paul A Certy

2